01/06/2015 at Nara Women's University

## Overview of atmospheric dynamics in Jupiter's stratosphere



Takeshi Kuroda Tohoku University



# Planned observations of Jupiter's atmosphere by JUICE-SWI (Sub-Millimetre Instrument)

- PI: Paul Hartogh (MPS), with the science and instrumental cooperation of the Japanese team (PI: Yasuko Kasai, NICT)
- SWI is highly sensitive to CH<sub>4</sub>, H<sub>2</sub>O, HCN, CO and CS in Jupiter's stratosphere, and the observations by SWI should be able to approach the structure, composition and dynamics of the middle atmosphere of Jupiter.
- From CH<sub>4</sub> molecular lines, vertical temperature profiles and wind velocities (from the Doppler shift) can be measured.
- CO and CS, which are chemically stable, can be used as tracers for the investigations of atmospheric flows (general circulation and dynamical processes).



Collision of Shoemaker-Levy 9 [HST, 1994]: Origin of H<sub>2</sub>O, CS, CO and HCN?

## Why Jupiter?

Towards the universal understandings of objects in the space (terrestrial planets, gas giants, brown dwarfs, stars...)



- For universal understandings of formation and evolution of planetary atmospheric circulations, with different viewpoints from the investigations of terrestrial planets. (clarifications of physical parameters specific to each planet)
- The field of planetary science is broadening beyond our solar system, and gas giants are especially important in extra-solar stellar systems as far as our current understandings. <u>Then we need to understand Jupiter, the closest gas giant to us,</u> <u>thoroughly as the first step.</u>

## Atmosphere of Jupiter

- Thermosphere (<10<sup>-3</sup>hPa)
- Stratosphere (10<sup>2</sup>~10<sup>-3</sup>hPa)
- Troposphere
   (10<sup>4-5</sup>~10<sup>2</sup>hPa)
  - With cloud layers
  - Driven by the internal heat source.

#### Vertical structure: observed by Galileo Probe



[Seiff et al., 1998]

## The target of JUICE/SWI is <u>stratosphere</u>. (complementary with JUNO/MWI, for troposphere)

## Jupiter's stratosphere

## Temperature and zonal wind fields observed by Cassini/CIRS

- Affected by radiative processes by molecules in stratosphere, as well as eddies enhanced from the troposphere. (cf. troposphere: convection cell structures transport the energy and momentum)
- The estimation from the thermal wind equation and cloud tracking (for lower boundary wind speed) shows the existence of fast zonal wind jets of 60-140 m s<sup>-1</sup> at 23N and 5N.



## Meridional circulation





Radiatively forced circulation pattern. Has no correlation with zones and belts above 100 mb

Several models predict equatorward transport above 10 mb, but they are highly dependent on assumed haze and gas optical properties

#### [Moreno and Sedano, 1997]

## QQO

### (quasi-quadrennial oscillation)

- Oscillations with the period of 4-5 years period have been observed from ground-based observations of equatorial temperature, and also simulated. It is thought to be analogous to the terrestrial QBO (quasi-biennial oscillation) which changes the direction of equatorial zonal wind with the period of ~2 years.
- QQO seems to affect not only stratosphere but also upper troposphere, but the driving mechanisms are not known.

Simulation of Jupiter's QQO (upper: accelerations by stationary/ Rossby waves, lower: by gravity waves)



15

[Friedson, 1999]

10

TIME, YEARS

1000

## Long-term observation of low-latitudes



20mb



Observation from IRTF telescope [Simon-Miller et al., 2006]

- Check the difference of temperature between the equator and 15-20 degrees.
- In stratosphere (20Pa), the difference of temperature seems to change in the consistent period with QQO.
- The semi-annual oscillation of Saturn was also discussed in the same way [Orton et al., 2008, Nature].

## Waves in troposphere

- Within 15° of the equator there is zonal structure that may indicate wave activity associated with a QQO.
- However the figure also indicates the variety of thermal features away 1/1 from the equator. The features appear to be stationary or moving slowly relative to the interior, although they are embedded in large 1/5 zonal wind currents.
- Quasi-stationary wave-like features in the tropospheres of both Jupiter and Saturn had been identified by previous observations (Voyager and ground-based).
- (Forcing by a disturbance deeply seated? The features at the visible cloud level?) [Flasar et al., 2004]

Cassini/CIRS: Longitude-latitude cross sections of atmospheric temperature at 243mb (upper troposphere)





## Waves in stratosphere

- Zonal features still exist, but less confined in latitude, and some move.
- The data indicated that the temperature features display a systematic westward drift at several latitudes (e.g. 25°S, 35°N).
- The derived zonal wind velocities from the thermal wind equation are quite different from the observed drifts of the thermal features.
- This motion is consistent with planetary, or Rossby waves, but the exact nature of these waves has yet to be determined. (from the troposphere?)

Cassini/CIRS: Longitude-latitude cross sections of atmospheric temperature at 1mb (middle stratosphere)



## Hot spot: interaction with plasma?



- A 'hot spot' is seen centered near 65°N and 180°W.
- From the ground-based observation, the 'hot spot' appears the same place as the auroral spot, with fixed latitude and longitude.
- It also coincides with a region of excess, pulsating X-ray emission and
- Anomalous far-ultraviolet emission was observed.
- Tracking Jupiter's magnetic field lines from the hot spot indicates an origin in the outer magnetosphere beyond 30 R<sub>J</sub> [Gladston et al., 2002]. The impact on the neutral atmosphere must be significant.
- An associated clockwise vortex is expected from the dynamical balance.
- A temperature gradient of at least 15 K per 5° of latitude, and the thermal wind equation implies a vertical shear of at least 30 m/s per scale height (27 km) in the vortex winds.

Polar projection [Flasar et al., 2004]



## Jupiter stratospheric GCM



- Log-pressure vertical 41 layers, 0.01-1000 hPa (tropospheric cloud top – upper stratosphere)
- Horizontal resolution: 240(longitude) ×180(latitude) grid points (1.5°×1°)
- Radiation: Newtonian cooling with relaxation time of Kuroda et al. [2014]

170 150 Temperature 130 110 90 Radiative relaxation time [Jovian days] 10000 1000 70 0.001 50 0.01 [qm] 30 0.1 og-pressure 10 -10 -30 From (Kuroda et al. 2014) 100 From (Conrath et al. 1990) -50 1000 E\_\_\_\_\_ 1e+06 1e+07 1e+08 Radiative relaxation time [s] -70-90 10 Zonal wind Zonal wind distribution at 30hPa

m/s

(Lower boundary wind velocity is defined from Cassini/VIMS cloud tracking)



## Summary

- Driving sources of the stratosphere are radiative effects (solar radiation and infrared molecular emission) and eddies from the troposphere which is governed by the convections driven by the internal heat forcing.
- Troposphere and stratosphere seem to affect each other. (troposphere -> stratosphere: eddies) (stratosphere -> troposphere: QQO)
- Quasi-stationary wave-like features in the troposphere, while the westward drift whose velocity is quite different from the zonal wind fields is seen in the stratosphere.
- Stratospheric temperature is also affected by the auroral activities.
- Expectations to the radio observations:

- Investigation of gravity waves generated from the cloud convective activities in the troposphere (hopefully something can be found from the vertically-fine temperature profiles)

- Question: which altitude is sensitive to measure on Jupiter's atmosphere?

## The importance of gravity waves in Martian atmosphere indicated by the GCM study

#### Takeshi Kuroda Tohoku University



## History of Mars General Circulation model (MGCM)

Viking (1976~1981) MGS-TES (1998~)

20th century: simulations of lower atmosphere

- Started from Leovy and Mintz (1969)
- Introduction of the condensations of CO<sub>2</sub> atmosphere (Pollack et al., 1990) and radiative effects of CO2 and dust

Results of LMD MGCM in France [Forget et al., 1999]



Temperature fields up to 60km height (observational limit at that time) were mostly reproduced



#### Intercomparison of MGCMs in 2006 ( $L_s$ =90°)

#### At the Second workshop on Mars atmosphere modelling and observations @Granada, Spain [Wilson et al., 2006]



 Results of most models were close, but <u>only MAOAM had quite</u> <u>high temperature above the winter pole (~60km height).</u>

→Was MAOAM wrong? But at that time there were almost no observational data of temperature above ~60km.

Just wait for the observations!

#### First observational data of temperature above ~60km (2008)

- The Mars Climate Sounder onboard Mars Reconnais-sance Orbiter (MRO-MCS) first observed the temperature in 60-80km height.
- It showed much higher temperature above the winter pole than expected, which was close to the results in MAOAM model.

#### MRO-MCS observations of temperature (Ls=136°) [McCleese et al., 2008]





Why did MGCMs except MAOAM underestimate the temperature above the winter pole?

- 1. Non-LTE effects of CO<sub>2</sub> radiation
- 2. Gravity waves (small-scale eddies)



Start of active discussions about the effects of gravity waves (GWs) on the atmospheric fields above ~60km

Effects of non-LTE radiation [Medvedev and Hartogh., 2007]

Effects of gravity wave drag scheme [Forget et al., 1999]





## What is the gravity wave?

Small scale (wavelength of less than ~2000km), short period (less than ~1 day)

- Restoring force is a buoyancy.
- Atmosphere of Mars is mostly convectively stable (as on Earth) to support gravity wave existence.
- Possible sources are the topography, convection, dynamical instability of the flow, etc.
- Waves break in upper atmosphere and affect the atmospheric fields.



- Wave amplitudes grow to maintain constant energy  $E = \frac{1}{2} \rho_o u'^2$
- Wave amplitude becomes too large and wave breaks.
- Wave momentum deposited.
- Force exerted on atmosphere ("wave drag")
- Drives a meridional (NS) circulation.



## **Gravity waves on Mars: from data analyses**

Creasey et al. [2006a], Geophys. Res. Lett., 33, L01803

- Using the MGS radio-occultation data (from surface up to ~40km)
- The observed data did not correlate well with the orographic forcings, suggesting that wave sources other than orography should play an important role on Mars.



#### Creasey et al. [2006b], Geophys. Res. Lett., 33, L22814

- Using the MGS accelerometer data (thermosphere)
- The typical horizontal wavelengths of GWs were 100-300km.

## **Gravity waves on Mars: from data analyses**

Fritts et al. [2006], J. Geophys. Res., 111, A12304

- Using the density data obtained in the aerobraking of MGS and Mars Odyssey (95-130km height)
- Amplitudes of GWs varied significantly with in space and time, and seemed to be related to the planetary-scale motions.
- Effects of the GWs on the atmospheric circulations were estimated as ~1000 m s<sup>-1</sup> sol<sup>-1</sup> at 70-80km height, and became one-fifth and five times of that at ~50km and ~100km heights, respectively.

#### Ando et al. [2012], J. Atmos. Sci., 69, 2906-2912

- Using the MGS radio-occultation data (from surface up to ~40km)
- A decline of the spectral density with wavenumber is seen in the similar way as terrestrial stratosphere/mesosphere.
- The saturation tend to occur only in lower latitudes.



## **Gravity waves on Mars: theoretical**

#### Medvedev et al. [2011a], Icarus, 211, 909-912



investigation



Zonal wind accelerations by the GW drag [m s<sup>-1</sup> sol<sup>-1</sup>] Red contours: westerly wind acceleration Blue contours: easterly wind acceleration

- Estimated the acceleration of winds in thermosphere by the GW drag from the wind fields of Mars Climate Database (LMD MGCM)
  - The strength of GW drag is consistent with the estimations by Fritts et al. [2006].

(From the scheme of terrestrial thermosphere, with source height of ~250 Pa, -60 $\leq$ (*c*- $\bar{u}_0$ ) $\leq$ 60 m s<sup>-1</sup>, horizontal wavelength of 200km)

$$F_{i}(z) = F_{i}(z_{0}) \exp\left[-\int_{z_{0}}^{z} \left(\beta_{non}^{i} + \beta_{mol}^{i}\right) dz'\right]$$
$$\beta_{non} = \sqrt{2\pi} \frac{1}{\sigma_{i}} \exp\left(-\alpha_{i}^{2}\right), \quad \beta_{mol} = \frac{2\nu_{mol}N^{3}}{k_{h}(c_{i} - \bar{u})^{4}}$$
$$\overline{u'w'} = \operatorname{sgn}(c_{i} - \bar{u}_{0})\overline{u'w'}_{max} \exp\left[-(c_{i} - \bar{u}_{0})^{2}/c_{w}^{2}\right]$$

 GWs change the wind fields above ~100km height significantly, decreasing and even reversing the mean zonal wind.

Zonal wind accelerations by the GW drag [m s<sup>-1</sup> sol<sup>-1</sup>] for the changed wind field

## **Gravity waves on Mars: MGCM simulation**

#### Medvedev et al. [2011b], J. Geophys. Res., 116, E10004



 $\overline{u'w'} = \operatorname{sgn}(c_i - \bar{u}_0)\overline{u'w'}_{max} \exp\left[-(c_i - \bar{u}_0)^2/c_w^2\right]$ 



#### Geopotential heights of the model Red: $L_s=270^{\circ}$ Blue: $L_s=180^{\circ}$

#### MAOAM-GCM

Spectral model Horizontal resolution: T21 (64 × 32 grids) Vertical 63 layers (hybrid) Top of the model: 1.6 × 10<sup>-5</sup> Pa

Dynamical forcing of GWs: Implemented momemtum flux of GWs at the source, setting the source height of ~260 Pa and horizontal wavelength of 300km

#### Gravity waves on Mars: MGCM simulation Change of numerical results due to the GW drag

 $L_{\rm s}=180^{\circ}$ 

L<sub>s</sub>=270°



#### Gravity waves on Mars: MGCM simulation With different GW drag conditions

Temperature

120

50

210

60

210

50

170

170

130

80

120

130

 $\bullet$ 

Zonal wind Meridional wind L<sub>s</sub>=270° 0.0001 -20 0.001 0.01 100 Benchmark 0.1 10 100 0.0001 -200.001 Lower source -40 0.01 (few hundred 60 0.1 meters above the surface) 10 100 0.0001 -20 -40 20 0.001 10 times 0.01 stronger 0.1 forcing 10 0

100

100

- GWs significantly
  decrease the wind
  speed in upper
  atmosphere, and
  even reverse the
  wind direction.
- GWs increase the temperature above the winter pole.
- Different results were obtained in different forcing conditions, but GWs definitely affect the atmospheric fields in upper atmosphere anyway.

## Gravity waves on Mars: MGCM simulation Thermal forcing of GWs

Medvedev and Yigit [2012], Geophys. Res. Lett., 39, L05201

Temperature at ~120km height

Heat/cool balances in thermosphere



Green: Only dynamical forcing Red: With dynamical+thermal forcing (daily averaged) Red dashed: With dynamical+thermal forcing (night) Blue dots: Mars Odyssey aerobraking

#### observation (night)



From exchange of energy (eddy to heat)

$$Q_{irr}^i = c_p^{-1} a_i (c_i - \bar{u}),$$

From vertical gradient of sensible heat flux

$$Q_{dif}^{i} = \frac{H}{2\rho R} \frac{\partial}{\partial z} [\rho a_{i}(c_{i} - \bar{u})]$$

#### **Gravity waves on Mars: MGCM simulation** Effects of the global dust storm on the thermosphere Medvedev et al. [2013], J. Geophys. Res., 118, 2234–2246

#### Temperature (noGW, GW)







## Gravity waves and mesospheric CO2 ice cloudformationSpiga et al. [2012], Geophys. Res. Lett., 39, L02201

Temperature disturbance by a mountain (4km height): from a regional model



 Temperature profile changes in the orange regions in 2 hours.

Black dots : CO<sub>2</sub> ice clouds Color shade : log<sub>10</sub>(S) (Red represents the regions with small mesospheric GW activities)

#### Saturation index (S)

$$S = \frac{T^{'}}{T^{'}_{m}} = \sqrt{\frac{\alpha N}{\langle \rho \rangle |\langle u \rangle - c|^{3}}} \quad \text{with} \quad \alpha = \frac{F_{0} \lambda_{H}}{2\pi}$$

 Mesospheric CO<sub>2</sub> ice cloud formation strongly coincides with the GW activities.



## Summary

- The effects of GWs on the Martian atmospheric temperature and wind fields are ignorable below ~60km.
- But, above ~60km, the accurate evaluation of the effects of GWs is important to reproduce the observed atmospheric fields.
- Dynamical forcing of GWs significantly change the wind speed in upper atmosphere (above ~100km), and even reverse the wind direction.
- Thermal forcing of GWs can be the main source of cooling above ~120km, reproducing the consistent temperature with the observations.
- The effect of GWs is critical also for the formation of mesospheric CO<sub>2</sub> ice clouds in low-latitudes.
- However, the implemented GW drag scheme is based on the terrestrial parameter, so the accuracy on Mars is not known.
- Expectations to the radio observations:
  - Mapping of the generations of GWs from the surface
  - Investigation of the generation sources of GWs (topography? convections? dust storms?)