Variation in PSC Occurrence Observed with ILAS-II over the Antarctic in 2003
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Abstract

The Improved Limb Atmospheric Spectrometer (ILAS)-II frequently observed polar stratospheric clouds (PSCs) in the Southern Hemisphere (SH) during the winter of 2003. Simultaneous observations of the aerosol extinction coefficient (AEC) at 780 nm, nitric acid, and water vapor data were analyzed to investigate the ambient thermodynamic conditions associated with observed PSCs. PSCs were first observed with ILAS-II at the end of May, and only observed frequently in August/September as temperatures cooled. At approximately 20 km late in the PSC season, however, PSCs were less likely to occur, despite colder temperatures, because of the lower concentration of nitric acid due to denitrification caused by sedimentation of previously occurring PSCs. The probability of PSC occurrence and the probability of ambient temperatures colder than nitric acid trihydrate (NAT) saturation temperature (T\textsubscript{SAT}) were well correlated below ~20 km throughout the winter. In contrast, PSC frequency at ~22 km from late August to early September was low even when temperatures were sufficiently colder than T\textsubscript{SAT}; this is, at least, partly because of the decrease in background aerosol particles in the atmosphere.

1. Introduction

Polar stratospheric clouds (PSCs) consist mainly of nitric acid and water/ice and form in winter and early spring in the lower stratosphere over both polar regions (e.g., Solomon 1999). PSCs play a crucial role in ozone depletion processes; they provide surfaces for heterogeneous reactions that convert inactive chlorine into active chlorine (e.g., Solomon 1999) and cause denitrification (e.g., Fahey et al. 1990) and nitrification (e.g., Hübler et al. 1990). Activated chlorine causes severe ozone depletion, especially in the Southern Hemisphere (SH), which is known as the “ozone hole.” The climatology of PSC frequency in the SH was examined using aerosol data from the Stratospheric Aerosol and Gas Experiment (SAGE) II from 1978 to 1989 (Poole and Pitts 1994), data from the Polar Ozone and Aerosol Measurement (POAM) II from 1994 to 1996 (Fromm et al. 1997), and data from multiple solar occultation sensors from 1978 to 2000 (Fromm et al. 2003).

Poole and Pitts (1994) examined the relationship between PSC frequency and temperature and showed that PSC formation in the SH is less likely later in PSC season than earlier. Other studies (e.g., Watterson and Tuck 1989; Hervig et al. 1997; Mergenthaler et al. 1997) have suggested that PSC formation late in PSC season in the SH is strongly affected by preceding denitrification and dehydration. However, there have been few studies on the variability of the relationship between PSC frequency and temperature over the course of a PSC season that are based on extensive observations of aerosols, nitric acid, and water vapor in the SH.

Against this background, we used data obtained by the Improved Limb Atmospheric Spectrometer (ILAS)-II over the SH in 2003 to investigate the relationship between PSC occurrence and temperature. ILAS-II is a solar occultation sensor onboard the Advanced Earth Observing Satellite (ADEOS-II). ILAS-II continuously observed vertical profiles of minor constituent gases and aerosols daily at 14 circular polar points at high latitudes in each hemisphere (53.9–71.1°N, 63.6–88.0°S) in the stratosphere from April through October 2003 (Nakajima et al. 2006). The ILAS-II Version 1.4 (V1.4) product (Yokota et al. 2006) used in this study contains 2643 profiles for the SH. ILAS-II aerosol extinction coefficient (AEC) data at 780 nm were used to compute the frequency of PSC occurrence. The United Kingdom Meteorological Office (MetO) stratospheric analyses data were used to diagnose the ambient temperature. ILAS-II nitric acid and water vapor data were used to calculate the nitric acid trihydrate (NAT) saturation temperature (hereafter referred to as “T\textsubscript{SAT}”). T\textsubscript{SAT} has been widely applied to estimate PSC areal extents (e.g., Rex et al. 1999). This study used T\textsubscript{SAT} as a reference for the existence of nitric acid-containing particles such as NAT and super-cooled ternary solution (STS). This study therefore considers variations in relationships among PSC frequency, ambient temperature, and T\textsubscript{SAT} at the ILAS-II measurement locations for the entire SH winter of 2003.

2. PSC identification and T\textsubscript{SAT} calculation

PSCs were identified from ILAS-II AEC data over the SH using methods similar to those for ILAS data in the NH (Hayashida et al. 2000). First, averages and standard deviations for ILAS-II AEC data at temperatures warmer than 200 K were calculated for each 10-day period and for each altitude level from April to October in 2003. The mean plus five times the standard deviation was defined as a PSC threshold; aerosol data whose extinction exceeded the threshold were then identified as PSCs. This method was applied to all ILAS-II data inside the polar vortex, the boundaries of which were determined using MetO data according to the method by Nash et al. (1996). This approach, however, sometimes could not determine a threshold, mainly in August and September, because all ILAS-II measurement points within a 10-day period had temperatures colder than 200 K. If thresholds could not be determined, then interpolated values were derived from threshold values at the same altitude before and after the period with no threshold.

The ILAS-II V1.4 AEC data at 12–24 km in the SH used in this study were validated by Saitoh et al. (2006). ILAS-II AEC data have a negative bias (12–30% at 20–22 km) relative to the Stratospheric Aerosol and Gas Experiment (SAGE) II Version 6.2 (V6.2) data. However, this negative bias does not affect PSC identification because PSC thresholds adopted here were relative values determined from ILAS-II AEC data themselves. T\textsubscript{SAT} values were calculated at all ILAS-II measurement locations inside the polar vortex using the empirical expression by Hanson and Mauersberger (1988). ILAS-II V1.4 nitric acid and water vapor data were used in the calculation. The nitric acid data were validated by Irie et al. (2006), who showed that the precision of the nitric acid data was 13–14, 5, and 1% at 15, 20, and 25 km, respectively. The accuracy was ~13+/−10% at 15–25 km, which suggests that...
the nitric acid data are suitable for scientific purposes. The V1.4 water vapor data were compared to coincident Halogen Occultation Experiment (HALOE) water vapor data (Version 19) (Harries et al. 1996) and SAGE II water vapor data (V6.2) (Taha et al. 2004), both of which had been already validated. ILAS-II water vapor data agreed with HALOE and SAGE II data to within 10–15% below 25 km.

The following discussions consider two probabilities: $P_{PSC}$ and $P_{TNAT}$. The probability of PSC occurrence ($P_{PSC}$) and the probability of temperature at the ILAS-II measurement locations colder than $T_{NAT}$ ($P_{TNAT}$) were defined as

$$P_{PSC} = \frac{N_{PSC}}{N_{Obs}} \times 100,$$

$$P_{TNAT} = \frac{N_{TNAT}}{N_{Obs}} \times 100,$$

where $N_{Obs}$ is the number of all ILAS-II measurements, $N_{PSC}$ is the number of data points identified as PSCs, and $N_{TNAT}$ is the number of data points colder than $T_{NAT}$. $P_{PSC}$ and $P_{TNAT}$ were calculated for every 10-day period at every altitude level for all the ILAS-II measurement locations inside the polar vortex.

The latitude of ILAS-II measurements shifted poleward from June to September in the range of 23° (65.3–88.0°S; Fig. 1d). Thus, the following time-series data include variability due to seasonal evolution and also due to latitudinal changes.

3. PSC distribution in the SH in 2003

Figure 1a shows daily mean MetO temperatures at ILAS-II measurement locations inside the polar vortex (color shading) and $P_{PSC}$ values computed for each 10-day period from June to October in the SH (hereafter referred to as the “PSC season”) (white contour lines). ILAS-II first observed PSCs in the SH at approximately 23 km on 30 May and then continuously observed them until ceasing operation (24 October). PSCs were most frequent (more than ~80% of the time) in August and September. The daily mean MetO temperatures were coldest (colder than ~185 K) in these two months.

At approximately 20 km in September, however, $P_{PSC}$ values were not large despite cold temperatures. Figure 2a shows time-series of daily mean temperature (black) and $P_{PSC}$ (dashed black) at 20 km. $P_{PSC}$ values increased from late July through August, reaching as high as ~80%. However, $P_{PSC}$ dropped to 21% at the end of September, even though temperatures were still as cold as 180–190 K. PSC formation is controlled not only by temperature but also by the partial pressure of nitric acid, and daily mean nitric acid concentrations, represented by color shading in Fig. 1b, were lower in August/September (71.1–88.0°S) than in June/July (65.3–71.1°S). Figure 2a (gray line) shows that the daily mean nitric acid concentration at 20 km in mid-September was 0.7–1.5 ppbv, while the concentration in early July was as high as 5.5–9.5 ppbv. At a given pressure, $T_{NAT}$ is lower for lower nitric acid concentration, so that smaller $P_{PSC}$ can be expected at approximately 20 km in September.

The white contour lines in Fig. 1b show the distribution of $P_{TNAT}$. $P_{TNAT}$ was well correlated with $P_{PSC}$ below ~20 km. The largest $P_{TNAT}$, 80–90%, occurred in late September between 15–17 km and corresponded to the largest $P_{PSC}$ also 80–90%. Most PSCs were observed at temperatures colder than $T_{NAT}$. The good correlation between $P_{PSC}$ and $P_{TNAT}$ thus suggests that PSCs occurred with high probability if the temperatures were sufficiently colder than $T_{NAT}$.

However, the correlation between $P_{TNAT}$ and $P_{PSC}$ was not as strong above ~20 km as below ~20 km. The discrepancy between $P_{PSC}$ and $P_{TNAT}$ was significant at ~22 km in late August and early September (area 1). Figure 2b shows that $P_{TNAT}$ was as high as 89% and 87%, while the corresponding $P_{PSC}$ was as low as 40% and 15% in late August and early September, respectively. The discrepancy in June was not as large, but it reached ~30%. The reason for the discrepancies is discussed in the following section.

Several uncertainties exist in the computations of $P_{PSC}$ and $P_{TNAT}$ described above. Applying tolerable ranges for thresholds to identify PSCs did not cause any significant change in $P_{PSC}$ (Irie et al. 2006) showed that ILAS-II nitric acid values are systematically large (14%) at 15–25 km compared to balloon-borne measurements. $P_{TNAT}$ values that considered the 14% positive bias differed from the values without the bias by less than 5%. Yokota et al. (2002) and Yokota et al. (2006) suggested that the presence of PSCs yields systematic errors in ILAS-II nitric acid and water vapor data by the nongaseous contribution correction in the retrieval scheme. However, the systematic errors had little effect on $P_{TNAT}$ values.

Uncertainties in MetO temperatures directly affect $P_{TNAT}$ values. Knudsen et al. (2002) suggested that MetO temperatures have a slight positive bias of ~0.3 K at ~190 K. Here, 0.3 K warm bias was assumed, and $P_{TNAT}$ was then recomputed. The recomputed $P_{TNAT}$ values were slightly larger, but the characteristics in Fig. 1b remained un-
In other words, PSC formation was less likely late in the late PSC season is inhibited because of nitric acid depletion attributable to denitrification caused by sedimentation of previous PSCs (e.g., Watterson and Tuck 1989; Mergenthaler et al. 1997).

Most PSCs were observed where temperatures were colder than \( T_{NAT} \). According to the definition of \( P_{PPSC} \) and \( P_{PT(NAT)} \), the ratio of \( P_{PPSC} / P_{PT(NAT)} \) can be expected to be close to the probability of PSC occurrence where temperatures are colder than \( T_{NAT} \). However, at \( T_{NAT} \) was almost 0.8–0.9, which suggests that PSCs occurred with high probability if the temperatures were colder than \( T_{NAT} \). At about 22 km in June, \( P_{PPSC} \) was not large despite relatively large \( P_{PT(NAT)} \). This is because the temperature there was only slightly cooler than \( T_{NAT} \). Figure 1c depicts the averaged differences between temperatures at ILAS-II measurement locations inside the polar vortex and the corresponding \( T_{NAT} \) as white contour lines of \( \Delta T \). Temperatures at \( \Delta T \) in June were 0–2 K warmer on average than \( T_{NAT} \). It is well-known that STS droplets formed at 3–5 K colder than \( T_{NAT} \) (e.g., Carslaw et al. 1994), and NAT particles would also form at temperatures several degrees colder than \( T_{NAT} \) (Tabazadeh et al. 2001) or even at lower temperatures (Carslaw et al. 1998). Therefore, a high probability of PSC formation \( (P_{PPSC}) \) cannot be anticipated in June even though \( P_{PT(NAT)} \) was relatively large.

Discrepancies between \( P_{PPSC} \) and \( P_{PT(NAT)} \) were much larger in late August and early September than those in June at the same altitude region. As shown in Fig. 1c, temperatures were more than 1-2 K colder on average than \( T_{NAT} \) in late August and early September. A possible explanation for low \( P_{PPSC} \) values despite such low temperatures is that background aerosol particles that had the potential to nucleate PSCs had already disappeared at these altitude levels. Color shading in Fig. 1c represents daily mean values of ILAS-II AEC; ILAS-II AEC values at \( \Delta T \) were much smaller after mid-August than before. This suggests a decrease of background aerosol particles with radii of 0.4–0.5 \( \mu \)m to which ILAS-II extinction measurements are most sensitive. The decrease in AEC could have been caused both by strong descent motion of upper air with low aerosol concentration inside the polar vortex and “cleaning effect” due to sedimentation of PSCs that had formed previously (e.g., Thomason and Poole 1993). Atmospheric subsidence inside the polar vortex was evident in ILAS-II \( N_{2}O \) and \( CH_{4} \) data (Ejir et al. 2006; Fig. 1, 2). To clarify the effect of particle sedimentation, time-series of the AEC at the iso-\( N_{2}O \) level (15 ppbv < \( N_{2}O < 25 \) ppbv) is shown in Fig. 3. The AEC distinctly decreased from mid-August through early-September; this decrease can be attributed to “cleaning” caused by sedimentation of PSCs that occurred earlier in that altitude region. Once “cleaning” occurs, aerosol concentration in the atmosphere cannot increase at high altitudes without aerosol loading from low latitudes coupled with the breakup of the polar vortex (Thomason and Poole 1993). The above results indicate that PSC formation would be inhibited because of a shortage of background aerosol particles in the atmosphere even when ambient temperatures were sufficiently cooler than \( T_{NAT} \).

4. Discussion

Several satellite sensors have observed PSCs in the SH, such as SAM II (Poole and Pitts 1994), POAM II (Fromm et al. 1997), and POAM III (Nedoluha et al. 2003), which had latitudinal coverages similar to the coverage by ILAS-II. All observations, including those by ILAS-II, showed the largest \( P_{PPSC} \) values in August and September. The \( P_{PPSC} \) of 40–50% in June 2003 from ILAS-II was large compared to some past measurements; for example, the 10-year ensemble average of \( P_{PPSC} \) in June between 1978 and 1989 ranged from 5 to 20% (Poole and Pitts, 1994; Fig. 2a) and \( P_{PT(NAT)} \) values in June from 1994 to 1996 were 15 to 20% (Fromm et al. 1997; Fig. 10), although \( P_{PPSC} \) in June 2002 was exceptionally high at \( \sim 60% \) (Nedoluha et al. 2003).

The above results show that \( P_{PPSC} \) was not as large at approximately 20 km in September even though temperatures at the ILAS-II measurement locations were still cold. In other words, PSC formation was less likely late in the PSC season, which is consistent with the results reported by Poole and Pitts (1994). This is due to the low concentration of nitric acid caused by a permanent denitrification in August, as suggested by trajectory analyses coupled with ILAS-II nitric acid and AEC data (Sugita et al. 2005). Our results show that PSC formation at approximately 20 km in the late PSC season is inhibited because of nitric acid depletion attributable to denitrification caused by sedimentation of previous PSCs (e.g., Watterson and Tuck 1989; Mergenthaler et al. 1997).

5. Conclusion

ILAS-II frequently observed PSCs from 30 May through October 2003 in the SH. Qualitative agreement was found between cold regions and high \( P_{PPSC} \) (probability of PSC occurrence) computed from ILAS-II AEC data. Generally, \( P_{PPSC} \) correlated with low temperatures; \( P_{PPSC} \) was largest in August/September when temperatures were coldest. However, at approximately 20 km in September, \( P_{PPSC} \) was not as large even though temperatures were still cold. PSC formation late in the PSC season was less likely due to a
decrease in nitric acid in the atmosphere caused by denitrification. \( P_{\text{sc}} \) and \( P_{\text{PPSC}} \) (probability of ambient temperature colder than \( T_{\text{SAT}} \)) calculated from ILAS-II nitric acid and water vapor data correlated well below ~20 km. PSCs existed in that region with high probability when temperatures were sufficiently colder than \( T_{\text{SAT}} \). However, \( P_{\text{sc}} \) at ~22 km from late August to early September was small even when \( P_{\text{PPSC}} \) was large, in part because of “clean sing effect” that removed background aerosol particles from the atmosphere. It is suggested that PSC frequency depends on the presence of denitrification and/or the amount of background aerosols that can be nuclei of PSCs. Therefore, \( T_{\text{SAT}} \) cannot be always a good reference for PSC occurrence, especially above 20 km in late winter.
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